

# **“Nunc Dimittus?”**

## **Luke 2:21-40**

Christmas 1  
December 29, 2019

Rev. Jeffrey H. Rickards

It is for me, both unusual and timely  
to be with you on this First Sunday after Christmas  
Unusual, because like many pastors after  
the busy Advent season and three services  
on Christmas Eve, I usually schedule my  
last vacation for the week following Christmas.  
Of course, most pastors probably won't admit it,  
but a service of carols helps keep the spirit of  
Christmas around a little longer, while the  
assigned texts for today—the circumcision  
and naming of Jesus or the slaughter of the  
innocents and the holy family's escape into  
Egypt breaks the spell of the season much  
like holiday hangovers and credit card bills do.  
And timely, because my time with you is  
quickly coming to an end, I wanted to be  
here with the faithful few who appear on  
the 'lowest' Sunday of the year in attendance  
and take this rare opportunity to preach  
on this Lukan text which contains Simeon's  
haunting song which talks about death  
just after a birth.

Just a few nights ago on Christmas Eve,  
I questioned why Luke chose to give such  
a prominent role to some unnamed shepherds  
in his birth narrative of Jesus.  
On this Sunday morning after Christmas,  
immediately following all the candlelight's,  
carols, presents and everything else that  
comes with our festive holy feast day,  
what in the world is Luke doing in

talking about death.

Along side the Christmas carols we continue to sing this Sunday, the Song of Simeon, which comes down to us in liturgical form in the *Nunc Dimittis*, sounds odd, dissonant, and out of place.

So, again I question Luke and his placement of this odd coupling of old and faithful Temple dwellers and the shadow of future death in Mary and Joseph's time of great joy?

In Luke's ongoing birth account, it is now forty days after Jesus' birth- there's that biblically symbolic number again!

After eight days, Luke records in verse 21; "it was time to circumcise the child; and he was called Jesus, the name given by the angel before he was conceived in the womb."

Now, thirty-two days later, Jesus' parents are again performing their duty, as the pious and righteous Jews they are, by returning to the Temple.

This time in order to fulfill the legal and religious obligations by offering a sacrifice and consecrating their firstborn child and son to the Lord.

They must have been in a solemn mood that day, full of reverence and expectancy, the way many new parents are who gather around the font before us to have their first child baptized.

It is not hard for us to imagine, therefore, the solemn procession Mary and Joseph must've made to Herod's great and massive Temple built upon Jerusalem's highest mount, their awe and their jitteriness as they purchase a pair of sacrificial birds according to the law.

About ten years ago I met at Saint Andrew's by the Sea in Atlantic City, a then young and newly minted Lutheran biblical scholar, who followed in his father's footsteps.

In an article commenting on this Lukan passage, Stephen Hultgren offers this background information.

The presentation of Jesus in the temple is motivated by specific requirements of the Mosaic Law. According to Leviticus 12, after a woman gives birth to a son, she is impure for forty days.

At the end of that period, she is to bring an offering to the temple, which the priest offers as a sacrifice, effecting her purification and return to the community.

In addition, Exodus 13 states that every first-born male, whether human or animal, belongs to the Lord.

Thus the biblical nation that the first-born son "belongs" to the Lord in a special way and is dedicated to serve him.

Luke's stress on the physical and biological-including concerns how an elderly couple, Zechariah and Elizabeth, might miraculously have a biological child, the conceptions of John and Jesus, childbirth and circumcision, and attention to purity laws as well as to rituals proscribed in the Torah- serves to show both Jesus' humanity and his role in fulfilling God's promises to Israel.

All of this detail also provides a counter argument to a movement that gained in popularity in the second century, a movement known as "Marcionism."

Named after Marcion, who concluded that the God of the Old Testament or Hebrew scriptures was a distinct god from the one Jesus proclaimed.

Marcion's heresy is still alive and well in some churches today.

It surfaces every time someone makes a remark  
about the “Old Testament God of Laws”  
versus the “New Testament God of grace.”

It also appears whenever people talk about  
how the commandments do not apply to us.

Luke’s infancy account insists that the  
“Old Testament” is not to be dismissed;  
nor is it some dusty book to be kept on a shelf  
and trotted out to see how Jesus ‘fulfills’  
certain verses.

It was through interpreting the “scriptures” that  
Jesus and his followers proclaimed their identity,  
for there was no “New Testament” at the time.

Luke claims for the followers of Jesus the traditions of  
ancient Israel and Second Temple Judaism.

As “Father Abraham” will tell the rich man  
in the famous parable in Luke, the rich man  
and Lazarus, “they have the law and the prophets.  
They must listen to them” (16:29).

To understand Luke’s Gospel, and to understand  
Jesus, we do well to know the traditions that  
they knew, the stories that they were told,  
the laws that they held sacred.

The infancy narrative concludes when  
Mary and Joseph encounter Simeon and  
Anna, two elderly, righteous Jews.

We first meet Simeon, who, like his counterpart  
Zechariah, the father of John the Baptist  
who we also met in Jerusalem and the Temple.  
“was righteous and devout” (2:25).

So it is not too far-fetched for us to imagine  
Mary and Joseph’s reaction as an old man  
comes forward out of the shadows to scoop up  
their child into his arms and prophesy about him.

Startled at first, perhaps, even a bit frightened  
by the old man’s enlightened and ecstatic face,  
the parents yield up their child to him.

While Zechariah was likely praying for a son,

Simeon has a much broader intercessory petition in mind: he was “looking forward” to the “consolation of Israel,” which is translated in other version as “eagerly anticipating the restoration of Israel.”

Hearing Simeon’s prophecy, the holy couple is reminded of the events of the previous weeks and months when angels and shepherds entered their lives to foretell the greatness of their son.

How puzzled they must’ve been at the words of what we call Simeon’s “song”: “Lord, now let your servant go in peace.”

The words are familiar to many of you.

In our Lutheran liturgical tradition they appear in the *Nunc Dimittis*, from the Latin, meaning “now send away” or “let me now depart.”

This also forms the hymn song after Holy Communion in some settings.

Simeon’s words also conclude the service of Compline, the order for prayers at the close of the day, and they can also be said or sung at funerals,

Expressing thanksgiving to the Christ child and an earnest plea for peace, Simeon’s song has become one of the most familiar and beautiful hymns of the liturgy.

And yet each time I as a pastor hear these words, I—as I imagine Mary and Joseph did—grow strangely uncomfortable and even remorseful.

For me, the memories and images of those whose bedsides I have been invited to offer prayers at the time of death and the conduct of funerals of those who have become like family, the words of Simeon and *Nunc Dimittis* grow strangely uncomfortable.

Listen to them again

“Lord now you let your servant go in peace.”  
your word has been fulfilled.

My own eyes have seen the salvation which

you have prepared in the sight of every people:  
a light to reveal you to the nations and  
the glory of your people Israel”

Beautiful words, to be sure, but also troubling  
for let’s be honest about them, when Simeone  
asks that he be allowed to go, he’s asking to die.  
There is no greater testimony of faith than a  
saint, knowing that death is near, professing  
at the time of their death.

Come to think about it, it is appropriate for Luke  
to record this strange scene and son.  
Simeon’s seemingly morbid request for death,  
just days after our celebration of Christmas,  
points us to the Christ who is no longer a child  
and the purpose for his death by crucifixion.  
The birth narrative of Luke’s first two chapters  
prepares us for the next twenty-two chapters.  
As we painfully know and maybe have experienced-  
death does not take a holiday.  
That truth is never more apparent than during these  
holidays, when our hopes are joined with our fears,  
our expectations so often tinged by our regrets,  
and our reunions sometimes overshadowed by  
unspoken disappointments or hurt.  
And to those who have lost a loved one in the  
past year, Christmas becomes a challenge.  
Most of us are reminded of those who have loved  
and lost by a stanza from a carol,  
a favorite ornament on the tree, or some  
fleeting, but vivid memory of a past Christmas.

Beloved people of God,  
Simeon is no different.  
He’s an old man, and has been around the block  
a few times, and so like us who have lived long,  
we can imagine that he has tasted love and loss,  
joy and sorrow, hope and fear.  
And so he sings of death, not despairingly but  
hopefully, for in this child cradled in his arms,

Simeon does so confidently because he now  
sees that in and through this child  
God will keep his promise to  
redeem Israel and to save all the world.  
In this Christ-child, in this babe in his arms,  
God has acted once and for all  
to address the question and specter of death  
with the promise of life,  
May each of us at our time of departure from  
this life be prepared by our faith  
to let go and let God.  
And so we continue to treasure Simeon's song,  
all these years after the events Luke records,  
simply because it tells of God's great love  
for us, a love that even death cannot destroy.

AMEN